

Map 101

none

Map 102

Here is my attempt at the redistricting. It allows for the additional population occurring between 2010 and 2020 without the need to redistrict after the 2020 census. Also puts Foothill Ranch and Portola Hills as one district in spite of the area having a large positive deviation. Area 1 has a majority Hispanic population Not a 50% majority but about 8 percentage points greater than the next ethnic population in district 1.

Map 103

District boundaries conforming within current census block restrictions and populations to lines of latitude.

Map 104

none

Map 105

none

Map 106

First go. Tried to keep populations within margin of error.

Map 107

This plan complies with the instructions for submitting a redistricting plan by break up Lake Forest into more Districts to reflect the changing face of Lake Forest.

Map 108

Trying to get One Person One Vote Minimal Deviations and separating Lake 1 and Lake 2 HOAs.

Map 109

Trying to achieve OPOV one person one vote with only cumulative 167 deviations. In this case trying to put Lake 1 and Lake 2 together into opne community of interest

Map 110

Uses Ridge Rte as a dividing line.

Map 111

none

Map 112

none

Map 113

This plan attempts to put residents that are similarly situated in the same district. The goal is to have a diverse representation on the council that would represent different parts of the city. Please note that with regard to District 1 the current development will likely double the number of residents putting it on par with the other districts as far as population. This will prevent a request to redistrict in the near future based on changing population. We want a district that stands the test of time and will not be challenged in court. Whether you use this map or not any map that is chosen needs to consider current development in District 1.

Map 114

I think this map makes sense because it combines neighborhoods with similar needs into districts that will allow for appropriate representation. Overall, this map balances established and newer neighborhoods, various demographic factors, and geography across the city while maintaining close population balance across districts. Although the home PopUnit of existing councilmembers was not used as a criterion for creation of this map, the current councilmembers are located in different districts according to this proposal, should they choose to run for reelection.

Map 115

Communities of Interest --- Since traffic is an issue residents are most concerned about I believe districts following major corridors are best where 2 or more districts border the same thoroughfare. Additionally this map tries to keep particular HOAs in the same district when possible and took a few other geographical boundaries Serrano Creek Pittsford the IRWD property the railroad tracks etc. into account whenever possible.

Map 116

This proposal is meant to accomplish three goals:

1. Maximize the voting power of the Latino community in the southeast section of the city.
2. Respect the integrity of the various neighborhoods as much as is allowable under population equality rules.
3. Ensure all regions of the city are represented at City Hall.

This proposal makes it a point to stay on major streets as much as possible. Avoiding gerrymandering was a top priority in designing this district. I feel this proposal does a great job respecting neighborhood integrity staying compliant with the law and bringing Lake Forest into compliance with the California Voter Rights Act.

Map 117

[Map used to test the system. Deleted.]

Map 118

This is my general suggestion for the new districting of Lake Forest California. This plan involves 4 city council districts plus 1 at-large mayor. It may need some fine-tuning but I believe it provides a good overview of the population and demographic distribution of the city. Thanks.

Map 119

I tried to make districts population equal including bits of HOA into different districts. Not a big fan of districts. Possibly support a city wide Mayor and four districts.

Map 120

The District is distributed based on populations and businesses. Businesses district will have less residents compare to single family home. Multi-family home area has more people due to numbers of people per square foot. It will be great to have long names for our districts.

Map 121

none

Map 122

none

Map 123

none

Map 124

Whispering Hill HOA covers 3 Population Units.

Map 125

none

Map 126

3 districts

Map 127

Based closely on map 105, but avoids pairing any of the current Councilmembers.